



10 Ways Obama nearly *destroyed* America

A Special Report from **The National Sentinel**

An elective despotism was not the government we fought for; but one in which the powers of government should be so divided and balanced among the several bodies of magistracy as that no one could transcend their legal limits without being effectually checked and restrained by the others. – James Madison, Federalist 84

© 2018 [USA Features Media LLC](#) and Winking Dog eBooks. All rights reserved.

Produced in conjunction with TheNationalSentinel.com.



The **National**
SENTINEL

Table of Contents

- **He told us what he wanted to do: The Promise of “Fundamental Transformation” - 4**
- **#1: Obama doubled our National Debt to destroy our economy - 7**
- **# 2: Obama seized control of all land, labor, food and resources - 9**
- **#3: Obama spied on the Trump campaign and weaponized our federal law enforcement and intelligence agencies - 11**
- **#4: Obama pushed through the Dark Act-blocking GMO labeling nationwide, even though 90% of Americans want GMOs labeled - 13**
- **#5: Obamacare has wrecked the American healthcare system - 15**
- **#6: Obama’s open-borders policies - 17**
- **#7: Obama’s treason: The Iran Nuclear Deal - 20**
- **#8: Obama’s attempt to start a race war - 22**
- **#9: Obama packed the federal courts with Constitution-hating Leftist judges - 24**
- **#10: Fast and Furious was all about gun confiscation - 26**

He told us what he wanted to do: The Promise of “Fundamental Transformation”

Barack Obama burst onto the national scene in 2004 when the then-Illinois State senator was not yet well-known outside local political circles.

It was Tuesday, July 27, and he was taped to give the keynote address as the Democratic National Convention, where then-U.S. Sen. John Kerry of Massachusetts had been nominated to face off against Republican incumbent President George W. Bush.

That speech helped propel him to the U.S. Senate, where, in 2008, he decided to run against U.S. Senator and former first lady Hillary Clinton for the Democratic nomination.

Many in the Democratic Party believed that the nomination was Clinton’s for the taking. But it soon became clear that Obama would not be denied. Clinton’s early lead in Iowa, where the country’s first primary takes place, quickly vanished after daytime talk queen and mega-celebrity Oprah Winfrey threw in early for Obama and began campaigning with him.

With Winfrey in his corner and at his side, Obama went on to draw record crowds at the earliest primary locations including Iowa, New Hampshire, and South Carolina.

After winning Iowa in January 2008 in surprising fashion – receiving 38 percent of the voter over former U.S. Sen. John Edwards’ 30 percent and Clinton’s 29 percent, Obama began to let the country know what his primary message was going to be: “Change.”

As you know, Obama would go on and capture his party’s nomination. And five days before the November election, the eventual 44th president of the United States dropped this bombshell that no one really understood at the time:

*“We are five days away from fundamentally transforming the United States of America. In five days, you can turn the page on policies that put greed and irresponsibility on Wall Street before the hard work and sacrifice of folks on Main Street. In five days, you can choose policies that invest in our middle class, and create new jobs, and grow this economy so that everyone gets a chance to succeed. ... If you’ll stand with me, and fight by my side, and cast your ballot for me, I promise you this...we will win this general election and together we will **change this country** and we will change the world.*

Some political pundits and seasoned political watchers not only heard Obama say those things, but they completely understood what it is he was *really* saying: That with his victory, he intended to wipe away as much of traditional America as possible.

On Jan. 21, 2009, during his regular radio program, Rush Limbaugh became the first voice of political opposition to say four words that revealed how well he understood what Obama was actually saying.

Limbaugh, who received widespread condemnation, told his audience regarding Obama as president, *"I hope he fails."*

He was talking, of course, about Obama's **policies** – he wanted *those* to fail. And he was right to say so, despite the political cowardice of many on the Right who were fearful of being seen as critical of the country's first black president. Because Limbaugh instinctively knew that, after listening to Obama campaign for a year-and-a-half, should the U.S. senator from Illinois become president he would 'fundamentally' transform America.

He knew that if you love something, you seek to preserve it, *not 'fundamentally' change it.*

As you will see in this special report, Obama as president made Limbaugh's worse fears come true as he pushed through a series of policies and legislation that came dangerously close to destroying America.

Read on.



#1: Obama doubled our national debt to destroy our economy

In January 2018, former Obama Treasury Secretary Jack Lew was extremely critical of the tax cuts and reform legislation that had recently been passed by the GOP-controlled Congress and signed by President Donald J. Trump, claiming that they will put the country in economic dire straits.

Lew made some dire predictions, claiming the cuts are “leaving us broke” and may lead to reductions in entitlement spending at some point.

“I fear that the next shoe to drop is going to be an attack on the most vulnerable in our society,” Lew said in an interview.

“How are we going to pay for the deficit caused by the tax cut? You’re going to see proposals to cut health insurance from poor people, to take basic food support away from poor people, to attack Medicare and Social Security,” he predicted.

“One could not have made up a more cynical strategy,” said Lew, who led the Treasury Department during Obama’s second term.

Critics immediately pounced on Lew's comments, noting that during Obama's eight-year tenure, he and the Treasury Department doubled the U.S. national debt, from roughly \$10 trillion in 2009 to roughly \$20 trillion by the time Obama left office.

Was he kidding? Unfortunately, no.

During his administration Obama doubled the national debt – from \$10 trillion to \$20 trillion, and this was after he chastised then-President George W. Bush during the 2008 campaign cycle for doubling the debt on *his* watch, which he called “irresponsible” and “un-American.”

Several expert economists have been sounding the alarm for years, and the latest one to do so – the Heritage Foundation's Romina Boccia – is no different. But her warning is more than just serious, it's dire.

“A fiscal storm has been brewing over America for years, and things are only getting worse,” Boccia – deputy director of the Thomas A. Roe Institute for Economic Policy Studies and the Grover M. Hermann fellow in federal budgetary affairs at Heritage – wrote recently.

“We don't hear much about the debt these days, yet the U.S. national debt is quietly and swiftly approaching \$20 trillion. The nation's fiscal condition is worsening by the day,” she added.

She notes further:

America's annual deficit – the difference between what the government spends and collects in taxes each year – is projected to rise steeply over the coming decade and to continue growing from there.

The deficit is projected to surpass \$1 trillion in nominal terms before the 10-year mark, and then to keep rising.

In terms of the size of the economy, deficits are expected to rise from 2.9 percent of gross domestic product (GDP) this year to 9.8 percent 30 years from now.

At some point, the gravy train will go off the rails and crash, and anyone who doesn't have a parachute, which will be 99.9 percent of Americans, will pay the price.

And Barack Obama put this process into hyperdrive.



2: Obama seized control of all land, labor, food and resources

In 2012, Obama issued executive order entitled, “National Defense Resources Preparedness” giving presidents the authority to take over all the resources in the nation, including labor, food, industry, as long as it’s done to “promote the national defense.”

This was purposely extremely vague so that Obama could seize private land, farms, food, and quarter soldiers anywhere and everywhere he so desired (in violation of the Third Amendment), leaving Americans no rights, no privacy, and completely at the mercy of anything Obama calls a threat.

It was preparation for a police-state society in Orwellian fashion, without a doubt.

In the executive order, with the swipe of a pen Obama took control of all of American lives and livelihood, including the following:

- (1) Secretary of Agriculture with respect to food resources, food resource facilities, livestock resources, veterinary resources, plant health resources, and the domestic distribution of farm equipment and commercial fertilizer;
- (2) Secretary of Energy with respect to all forms of energy;

- (3) Secretary of Health and Human Services with respect to health resources;
- (4) Secretary of Transportation with respect to all forms of civil transportation;
- (5) Secretary of Defense with respect to water resources; and
- (6) Secretary of Commerce with respect to all other materials, services, and facilities, including construction materials.

The goal was to stockpile supplies for the U.S. military while weakening any chance of American civilians rebelling against a rogue government. The government now had the right to march onto someone's farm with guns drawn and demand all seeds, water, transportation, crops, livestock and farm equipment, all in the name of "national defense," even if there was no war, imminent war, or even a threat looming. Using this executive order, in other words, Obama could have invoked, even without congressional war approval.



#3: Obama spied on the Trump campaign and weaponized our federal law enforcement and intelligence agencies

There has been much speculation in Washington and among the official political “commentariat” about whether President Obama had any knowledge of his Deep State intelligence and law enforcement institutions placing spies inside the 2016 Trump campaign.

Of course, he did, says former White House spokesman for George W. Bush, Ari Fleischer.

There’s no way Obama wouldn’t have known, Fleischer insisted on Thursday.

In fact, he said, Obama authorized it. He would have had to.

Fleischer dropped his bomb during an appearance on Fox News’ noon-time program, “Outnumbered.”

The frank discussion was noted by investigative reporter Paul Sperry, who tweeted it out in May 2018.

“BREAKING: Bush press secretary Ari Fleischer said ‘I guarantee the answer is yes’ to whether Obama knew Halper & others were deployed to spy on Trump campaign. Fleischer explained that no FBI director would put informants inside a presidential campaign w/o the prez authorizing it,” Sperry wrote.

There have been other indications that Obama not only knew but was directing the operation.

Remember that text exchange between FBI counterintelligence No. 2 Peter Strzok and former FBI lawyer Lisa Page, his lover?

In a Sept. 2, 2016, text to Strzok about preparing talking points for then-FBI Director James Comey to give to President Obama, Page said it was important to do so because “potus wants to know everything we’re doing.”

Obama would also have been kept in the loop by his attorney general, Loretta Lynch — who was a lot more loyal to him than Jeff Sessions has been to Trump.

Also, since the spying against Team Trump was set up as a counterintelligence operation, Obama would have been updated regularly via the President’s Daily Intelligence Brief.

Investigative reporter John Solomon, writing for *The Hill*, said during an interview in June 2018 that Obama’s White House attempted to take over the operation into Team Trump.

The efforts to begin targeting and reaching out to Trump campaign officials to gain intelligence on Russia that would ultimately justify the investigation began weeks and maybe months before the FBI had a formal predicate. And that’s very important the rules say you can’t use sources until you have a predicated investigation.

The investigation is July 31, 2016. My sources and documents that I will be able to make public tomorrow will show that there were contacts going on by people identified as informers, informants, people who provided information began much, much earlier than July 31st. That’s the first part.

The second part is as the investigation was just starting to ramp up there are internal FBI documents showing FBI agents talking about the White House trying to take over the investigation. Fears that the Justice Department were going to leak for political reasons and their own personal fear...

The question about whether Obama did or did not know about all of this has been answered — on more than one occasion.

Of course he did.



#4: Obama pushed through the Dark Act-blocking GMO labeling nationwide, even though 90% of Americans want GMOs labeled

Absolutely revoking mandates already in place for labeling GMOs (genetically modified organisms) in states such as in Vermont, the “Safe and Accurate Food Labeling Act of 2015” was everything but safe and accurate, and Obama knew it.

Since Monsanto and other biotech companies have so much money and influence in Washington DC, lobbyists were able to either payoff or brainwash (or both) politicians in Congress to vote for the ‘DARK Act’ (Denying Americans the Right to Know) into place in order to let companies voluntarily label GMOs if they so choose to, which they will not, knowing Americans are already leery of genetically mutated food that contains chemical pesticides.

The DARK Act has been widely condemned by clean food advocates, environmental activists and others who know GMOs pose serious health and environmental risks.

When Obama first ran for office he said clearly that he would support GMO labeling and that Americans have the right to know what’s in their food, but like much of everything else Obama promised, it fell to the wayside or was an outright lie, just to win the office of POTUS.

The DARK Act was just another example of Obama having promised one thing and then acting in the complete opposite fashion.

Here's the quote directly from Obama's 2007 campaign speech:

"Americans should know where their food comes from. We'll let folks know whether their food has been genetically modified, because Americans should know what they're buying."

In some surveys nine of 10 Americans said they support GMO labeling because they want to know everything they possibly can about what's in the foods they're buying. Obama and the big food companies fought labeling tooth and nail – all while claiming that GMOs are completely safe and there's nothing to worry about.

Okay – so why did Obama fight the will of the people on this if 'everything's fine with our food supply?'



#5: Obamacare has wrecked the American healthcare system

You can keep your doctor. No, Obamacare's individual mandate is *not* a tax. You can keep your current health insurance. Premiums under Obamacare will fall by \$2,500 a year. Emergency room visits will dramatically decrease.

None of these claims that Obama made about his signature piece of legislation were true.

Not one word. And what's more, he and Democrats who were touting these claims knew they weren't true. They knew they were lies. But telling those lies was necessary so that Obama could get big government to usurp one-sixth of the U.S. economy and turn healthcare into a government-run nightmare.

Remember MIT economic scientist Jonathan Gruber? He was the mastermind behind the campaign of lies.

He got paid millions of taxpayer dollars to help engineer key Obamacare deceptions that got the law passed. The now-infamous Gruber helped Obamacare architects exploit

"the stupidity of the American voter" to get Obamacare passed – a law which has caused health insurance premiums to skyrocket along with other out-of-pocket expenses, in some cases so high that millions of Americans essentially have no health insurance for all practical purposes.

In 2014 a video compilation of Gruber's lies and the ongoing deceptions of Obama and House Minority Leader Nancy Pelosi surfaced, and it spread faster than Ebola.

The video hilariously featured Obama first bragging about how he "liberally stole ideas" from Jonathan Gruber, followed by Obama's obviously deceitful denials that Gruber had anything to do with Obamacare at all. Democrats ran from Gruber faster than a busload of sick tourists stampeding for public toilets after an encounter with Montezuma's Revenge.

But it didn't matter. Democrats – and Obama – had already delivered us Obamacare, and none of them were going to take it back.

It was designed to fail so that the *next* Democratic president could usher in full healthcare Marxism, where government bureaucrats – not doctors and other primary care providers – make decisions about *your* healthcare.

Up until the day Gruber was caught on video explaining how stupid the American voters were, Democrats all but fell over each other in a race to heap praise upon Gruber as an economic genius. This is all part of the elitist socialism system of total stupidity: Pick out the most fast-talking hucksters from MIT, Harvard and Yale, then position them as geniuses who can do no wrong, even if their ideas are based on pure nonsense.

Nowhere is there more bull manure shoveled on a more regular basis than in the realm of economics, where the most important qualification to work for the federal government is to be a really good liar.

And it's only going to get worse.

In late 2017 the *Los Angeles Times* reported that coming rate increases are exemplified by one Denver couple, both electrical engineers who retired five years ago.

The Hansens, who had put away a decent nest egg for their retirement, found themselves decently priced insurance that seemed reasonable to a couple in their late 50s, the paper noted. But then, as Obamacare kicked into overdrive in the years since, insurance prices have risen dramatically. Since 2015, the *Times* reported, their private insurance premiums have *more than tripled* and are expected to cost the couple almost \$18,000 in 2018.

Frankly, that's criminal. And it's primarily Obama's fault.



#6: Obama's open-borders policies

Beginning shortly after he won reelection, Obama issued an unconstitutional executive order – an action he claimed repeatedly *prior to the election* that he had no authority to do. That order was called the Deferral Action on Childhood Arrivals, or DACA, and prior to implementing it he said **22 times** that he, as president, did *not* have the authority to simply change immigration law – he would need Congress to do that.

He did it anyway but for some reason, Congress refused to hold him to account (impeachment). Some Republicans hooted and hollered but there weren't enough of them – even when the GOP took full control of Congress in 2014 – to must enough votes to remove him from office.

DACA was part of Obama's broader "open borders" push, which the Democratic Party continues to favor.

What makes open borders harmful? Look at Europe. It's led to massive importation of poor, uneducated third-world people – many of whom are religious zealots or terrorists -- who come into the U.S. not to assimilate with our culture but to keep their own while demanding that U.S. taxpayers subsidize them.

Obama also supported so-called “sanctuary city” policies adopted by Left-wing city councils in most large American cities that forbid local police from cooperating with federal immigration enforcement officials, thereby endangering American citizens as even criminal aliens are left to roam the streets.

Worse, as we saw with Obama and continue to see with today's Democratic Party, the Left uses this growing minority to drive a wedge through our society, labeling anyone who resists open borders as “racist” and “bigoted” (especially President Trump and his supporters).

Now, despite the fact that *prior to the 2014 election* federal courts repeatedly upheld an injunction against the president's policy, the White House never changed it one iota.

Deportations of illegal immigrants under the policy were dramatically reduced, even as scores more poured across the Southwest border and the number of Border Patrol agents was decreasing.

Does this sound like a man who is serious about preserving the integrity of American sovereignty and culture?

Not at all.

The number of illegal border crossings dropped dramatically during President Trump's first year in office after he promised to end DACA and crack down on sanctuary city policies while beefing up border enforcement.

But federal courts have blocked Trump's attempts to end DACA by executive order – *even though it was **illegally created** by executive order* – while also siding with sanctuary cities against the Trump administration.

With each court loss migrants saw a new opportunity to sneak into the U.S., believing that Trump was going to be powerless to kick them out once they got here.

That battle is still raging, and many analysts see POTUS Trump winning in the long run because he's got the rule of law on his side. But in the meantime, Obama's open-borders policies continue to harm the country as illegal migrant crossings – including some who belong to deadly gangs like MS-13 – surged again in 2018.



#7: Obama's treason: The Iran Nuclear Deal

Shortly after taking office Obama embarked on a mission to empower the Iranians – who have long been sworn enemies of America – with the ability to not only build nuclear weapons at some point in the future, but to make sure they were enriched along the way.

The entire process was shrouded in misstatements, opaqueness and outright deception. The former president and the taxpayer-supported liars on his staff have a lot to answer for when it comes to that deal, and specifically, the staggering amount of money the administration has either paid Iran or permitted Iran to access—even while this nothing little ‘power’ harasses U.S. Navy ships in the Persian Gulf, as well as our only true ally in the Middle East, Israel.

As reported recently by the *Washington Free Beacon*:

The Obama administration ... paid Iran more than \$10 billion in gold, cash, and other assets since 2013, according to Iranian officials, who disclosed that the White House has been intentionally deflating the total amount paid to the Islamic Republic.

Senior Iranian officials late last week confirmed reports that the total amount of money paid to Iran over the past four years is in excess of \$10 billion, a figure that runs counter to official estimates provided by the White House.

The latest disclosure by Iran, which comports with previous claims about the Obama administration obfuscating details about its cash transfers to Iran – including a \$1.7 billion cash payment included in a ransom to free Americans – sheds further light on the White House’s back room dealings to bolster Iran’s economy and preserve the Iran nuclear agreement.

Some Iran-watching experts believe that Tehran certainly could be overstating the amount it has been paid, for sure. But there are limitations to such claims as well.

“Iran does have incentives to overstate this figure,” Behnam Ben Taleblu, a research analyst at the Foundation for Defense of Democracies, told the *Free Beacon*. “But given the recent state-sponsored narrative in Iran about a Western and particularly American failing to offer sanctions relief, this reads much more as fact rather than another instance of disinformation from Tehran.”

Having helped Iran keep its nuclear program funded, the Obama “deal” and resulting financing through direct payments and sanctions relief made it possible for officials in Tehran – including Supreme Leader Ayatollah Khamenei – to eventually declare their intention of beginning the enrichment of uranium once again (if they ever really stopped) after President Trump announced the U.S. will abandon the deal.

This is treason of the highest order – or at least, once upon a time it *would have been*.



#8: Obama's attempt to start a race war

Obama did all that he could to divide the country along racial lines, most notably by taking the very unprecedented and un-presidential step of commenting publicly on local cases that have a racial element – that is, local cases he can use to exploit his narrative that America has made no progress on matters of race.

During an interview for the podcast "WTF with Marc Maron," he used the n-word to make a point that there's still plenty of room for America to combat racism.

"Racism, we are not cured of it. And it's not just a matter of it not being polite to say nigger in public," Obama said.

"That's not the measure of whether racism still exists or not. It's not just a matter of overt discrimination. Societies don't, overnight, completely erase everything that happened 200 to 300 years prior."

Never mind that the n-word is *most* used today by blacks as a regular part of their vernacular.

A year after the "tragic death" of Michael Brown in Ferguson, Missouri, the United States has "come to see more clearly than ever, the frustration in many communities of color and the feeling that ... laws can be applied unevenly," Obama claimed - without any evidence at all. And the officer who shot Brown was completely vindicated by a court of law.

Following a week of racially charged protests in Baltimore, Obama said that there were residual effects of racism built up over time which has created inequality.

Obama added that the effects of slavery, Jim Crow laws and discrimination in American history have left minority communities at a disadvantage.

"We don't have to accuse everybody of racism today to acknowledge that as part of our past. And if we want to get past that, everybody has to make a little bit of extra effort," Obama said.

In other words, *we've made no progress at all as a country* when it comes to issues of race - though, before we elected a race-baiting president, most Americans believed that race relations were pretty good.

The fact is Obama never said *anything* complimentary about his own country - that Americans are, by and large, wonderful, giving, honest, open and accepting people; that our country, like other countries, has some warts but that we as a nation have done all we can passing laws and constitutional amendments to right the wrongs of the past; that we are an "indispensable nation" that protects more than half the planet from tyranny, death and destruction.

Shouldn't a U.S. president feel these things? Shouldn't he be proud to be the leader of such a country?

Obama clearly was not. His dour demeanor, his incessant criticism, his petulant lecturing of political opponents, his hands-off approach to national security and his background as a communist/Marxist ideologue all add up to one of the most loathsome presidents, if not *the* most loathsome, in our history.

"I think the question is why is he so angry at America? I don't think there's much question that he does not wish America well. He has a real, strong hatred of America," economist Ben Stein said on Newsmax TV's *Steve Malzberg Show*.

And he often played the race card to keep Americans of differing ethnicities all stirred up.



#9: Obama packed the federal courts with Constitution-hating Leftist judges

One of the primary ways Obama sought to protect his Left-wing, liberty-destroying legacy was by "packing" the Federal Court for the D.C. Circuit with activist, like-minded judges.

Why that court?

Because that one of the federal circuits that hears and decides legal challenges to rules and regulations issued by the federal bureaucracy. And he wants those rules to remain in place long after he is gone.

Obama issued more expensive regulations than virtually any president before him, including those associated with Obamacare and tens of billions in new costs from burdensome and expensive EPA regulations.

A packed D.C. Circuit is likely to rule against states that are suing to get these rules tossed.

In 2013 Obama's White House began working with then-Senate Majority Leader and now retired Sen. Harry Reid, D-Nev., to invoke the "nuclear option" that was first talked almost a decade ago, which would alter longstanding Senate rules by saying that judicial nominations cannot be filibustered.

The plan was to change the rules so that three of Obama's picks to the D.C. Circuit could be quickly confirmed without any Republican filibustering.

As such, nominations would then be confirmed with a simple majority of 51 senators (or 50 plus Vice President Joe Biden as a tiebreaker) instead of 60 votes to invoke cloture to end debate and proceed to a final vote.

The plan was for Sen. Reid to raise a point of order that further debate on the nomination is "dilatary and out of order," and then ask the president of the Senate (Vice President Biden) for a ruling.

The Senate parliamentarian would then inform Biden that Reid is wrong, but Biden would then overturn the parliamentarian's opinion in Reid's favor, noting that the Senate has to proceed to an immediate simple-majority vote.

If you recall, that is precisely what happened.

Reid said at the time that the chamber "must evolve" beyond parliamentary roadblocks. "The American people believe the Senate is broken, and I believe the American people are right," he said, adding: "It's time to get the Senate working again."

All three of Obama's picks were confirmed.

Obama said at the time that Republicans had turned nomination fights into a "reckless and relentless tool" to grind the gears of government to a halt and noted that "neither party has been blameless for these tactics." However, he said, "today's pattern of obstruction . . . just isn't normal; it's not what our founders envisioned."

Today, it's *Democrats* who are doing all *they* can to obstruct President Trump's judicial and Cabinet picks.



#10: Fast and Furious was all about gun confiscation

One of the earliest of Obama-era was “Operation Fast and Furious,” a scandal of such monumental proportions it nearly cost Americans the right to keep and bear arms.

At least, that was Obama’s objective. His and his corrupt attorney general at the time, Eric Holder.

Together they cooked up a scheme to send thousands of U.S.-based “assault weapons” – that is, semi-automatic rifles which cosmetically *resemble* **real** assault weapons – into the hands of Mexican drug cartels. The goal was to ensure the guns led to maximum death and carnage so that the Obama administration could then push for far stricter gun control laws that included complete bans on certain weapons and, some believe, *outright confiscation of firearms*.

The operation was called “Fast and Furious,” and it was run by an agency that answered to Holder Justice Department, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives.

As reported by the *New York Post*, the operation was a Department of Justice-run program that allowed thousands of rifles including .50-caliber rifles with a range of nearly two miles and powerful enough to take down a helicopter to be sold to the cartels, allegedly so they could be “tracked” back to the illegal drug-running operations.

However, the Post noted, “internal documents later revealed the real goal was to gin up a crisis requiring a crackdown on guns in America.

“Fast and Furious was merely a pretext for imposing stricter gun laws,” the Post noted – even if that meant **scores of people would have to die** in order to achieve the political objective of **more gun control**.

Talk about sick.

In fact, the guns *did* cause death, mayhem, and carnage:

...[T]he scheme backfired when Justice agents lost track of the nearly 2,000 guns sold through the program and they started turning up at murder scenes on both sides of the border – including one that claimed the life of U.S. Border Patrol Agent Brian Terry.

While then-Attorney General Eric Holder was focused on politics, people were dying. At least 20 other deaths or violent crimes have been linked to Fast and Furious-trafficked guns.

The plot came to light after Terry’s death in 2010 at the hands of Mexican drug bandits; he was shot in the back with what was essentially an Obama/Holder-supplied rifle. But then, getting caught red-handed in the scandal, “the most transparent administration in history” lied repeatedly to Congress about the program, denying it ever existed. At one point, reported *Newsroom America*, Obama even extended executive privilege to Holder in regard to documents that were related to Fast and Furious.

House Oversight and Government Reform Committee Chairman Rep. Darrell] Issa [R-Calif.] and his committee have regularly demanded scores of documents from the Justice Department pertaining to the botched operation, in which the BATF allowed straw purchasers to buy guns from the U.S. and supply them to Mexican drug cartels south of the border.

The administration stonewalled on the documents, leading to a contempt of Congress citation for Holder.

However, as the *Post* noted, in May 2016 – when the Obama administration was gearing up to spy on the presidential campaign of then-GOP candidate Donald Trump – a federal court ordered the release of 20,000 pages of emails and memos that had been previously denied Congress and others looking into the scandal. “A preliminary review shows top Obama officials deliberately obstructing congressional probes into the border gun-running operation,” the *Post* noted.

Bottom line: Obama and Holder purposely created a situation that led to the slaughter of scores of people, including at least one federal agent, **for the sole purpose of subverting the Constitution’s Second Amendment**.

What disgusting treachery.